

[25th February 1961]

குறைத்திருக்கிறோம். இந்த 14 ஆண்டுகள் போதாது, இதை நீடிக்க வேண்டும் என்ற கருத்தும் நாட்டில் இருந்து கொண்டிருக்கிறது. இதைப்பற்றி இப்போது விரிவாக விவாதிக்க சந்தர்ப்பம் இல்லை.

MR. SPEAKER : Questions are over.

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—INCORRECT PUBLICATION OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE PRESS.

SRI M. KALYANASUNDARAM : With your permission, Sir, I wish to bring to your notice and also to the notice of the Hon. Leader of the House a report appearing in the "Hindu" of this morning. It is about the speech delivered by the Hon. Leader of the House on the floor of the House. Of course the Hon. Leader of the House was a bit aggressive yesterday in criticising parties in the Opposition. But it is reported in the paper as follows :

"It would be therefore preposterous to describe his action in suggesting postponement as an act of autocracy. On the contrary, the Leader of the House declared it was the members of the Opposition who behaved in a autocratic manner by tagging a walk-out without understanding the rules."

I do not suggest that the Hon. Leader of the House is not capable of using such terms. I do not blame the correspondent who has translated it in a loose manner, like this. But these are not the words which the Hon. Leader of the House used yesterday. Least of all he did not accuse us as being ignorant of rules. I do not think even now he will accuse us as being ignorant of rules. Lest his position be misunderstood by the public, I shall be glad if this is corrected. I do not blame the Correspondent. I would request the Hon. Leader of the House to clarify whether he spoke like that.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : If the hon. Member Sri M. Kalyanasundaram does not blame the Correspondent, I do not know whom he blames. Does he mean to blame me for such a publication in the 'Hindu', after having stated that I did not make such a speech. While the hon. Member suggested that I was not incapable of using such a language, I could also say that whether I spoke like that or not, perhaps the facts mentioned and conclusions drawn are quite correct. But apart from that I may say that I did not use such words and I have not intended to use such words. On the other hand, apart from being aggressive as usual, I tried to be as conciliatory as possible yesterday. I want to continue that attitude. Apart from these things I would request the members of the Business Advisory Committee who have found it necessary to submit their resignations, to withdraw their resignations so that the good atmosphere which was created yesterday could be continued. I hope that in response to my request they would withdraw their resignations. It is not only my request. I could point out that this Committee was constituted by the Hon. Speaker.

25th February 1961] [Sri C. Subramaniam]

Resigning from such a Committee does not in any way affect the Leader of the House but it is an affront to the Hon. Speaker himself. I would request them not to press their resignations. This humble request I am making to the Opposition Parties as Leader of the House.

SRI V. K. RAMASWAMY MUDALIYAR : I am very glad to note that the Hon. Leader of the House has made a request to the Opposition parties to reconsider the question of their resignation from the Business Advisory Committee. I appreciate it very much. I will no doubt consult other leaders of Opposition Parties and in all probability I think we will accede to the request now made and co-operate with you, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER : I have already issued an appeal to Leaders of Opposition Parties that they should withdraw their resignations from the Business Advisory Committee. Business Advisory Committee has been constituted by the Speaker himself to advice the Speaker. If leaders resign from the Business Advisory Committee, appointed by the speaker, as a protest against what a Minister does, it is not fair. I hope that with this appeal of mine, supplemented by the appeal of the hon. Leader of the House all will end well and we shall have a new start.

SRI V. K. RAMASWAMY MUDALIYAR : We will come to an agreement and join the Business Advisory Committee and co-operate with you.

MR. SPEAKER : Thank you, very much. I am very glad for the hon. Leader of the House having used his extra influence on my behalf.

III:—GOVERNMENT RESOLUTION.

EXTENSION OF THE MADRAS CITY TENANTS PROTECTION ACT, 1921, TO SRIVILLIPUTHUR.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Sir, I move—

‘That the following notification made by the Governor of Madras under the second sub-section of Section 1 of the Madras City Tenants Protection Act, 1921 (Madras Act III of 1922) be approved :

NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 1 of the Madras City Tenants Protection Act, 1921 (Madras Act III of 1922), the Governor of Madras hereby extends the said Act [as amended by the Madras City Tenants Protection (Amendment) Act, 1960] (Madras Act 13 of 1960) to the municipal town of Srivilliputhur in the Ramanathapuram district with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

DEPUTY SPEAKER : The question is—

‘That the following notification made by the Governor of Madras under the second sub-section of section 1 of the Madras City Tenants Protection Act, 1921 (Madras Act III of 1922) be approved :